

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,595	08/17/2007	David Minodier	4976-012	7469
22429 LOWE HALIP	7590 12/30/200 TMAN HAM & BERN	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			JOHN, CLARENCE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		2443	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/598,595	MINODIER ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
Review	CLARENCE JOHN	2443

-				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed .			
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following			
The request does not include:	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. i included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fron the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.				
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brief will be reset to be one month	at Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicar ied naccordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appea from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt data ble.			
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con	d the status of the claim(s) is as follows:			
3. ☐ Allowable application – A of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecu applicant at this time.	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A α action will be mailed. No further a	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ction is required by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) <u>CLARENCE JOHN</u> .	(3)			
(2) TONIA DOLLINGER.	(4)			
/CJ/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443, 12/23/2009	/Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443			